

Advisory Services

Development Effectiveness assesses the extent to which an IFC project achieved its intended development results and advanced private sector development. The rating is a synthesis—not an average—of project performance in five areas: strategic relevance, results including outputs, outcomes and impacts, and efficiency.

The Development Effectiveness score is a portfolio-level aggregated score that reflects the percentage of projects receiving positive ratings among all projects completed within a fiscal year. In FY19, 107 advisory projects were completed and assessed for Development Effectiveness ratings.

Development Reach by IFC's Advisory Clients

CY18 RESULTS


Retail Payment & Mobile Banking	
Number of non-cash retail transactions (millions)	295.8
Value of non-cash retail transactions (\$ billions) ¹	206.2
Credit Infrastructure	
Collateral registries or credit bureaus created or improved	6
SMEs & microenterprises receiving loans secured with movable property	999,379
Financing facilitated (\$ billions)	88.8
Agribusiness	
Farmers reached (millions)	0.6
Corporate Governance	
Financing facilitated (\$ millions)	326.0
Energy & Resource Efficiency	
Financing facilitated (\$ millions) ²	299.3
People with access to improved services (millions)	7.5
Public-Private Partnerships	
PPPs that reached commercial close	14
Private financing estimated at commercial close (\$ millions)	1,568.4
People expected with access to improved infrastructure and health services (millions)	21.6
Improving Business Environment³	
Number of investment climate reforms	52
Direct compliance cost savings (\$ millions)	167.4
Investment promotion (\$ millions)	237.5

1. The big increase compared to the results in last year's annual report is due to one project in China, which contributed 95% of the total CY18 results.

2. More than half of the CY18 results were contributed by closed projects through post-implementation monitoring.

3. AS program delivered through WBG Global Practices (GPs).

Development Effectiveness Score by Business Area, FY18 vs. FY19^{1,2}



1. The business groupings are different from last year to reflect the re-alignment of Advisory Services. The FY18 data is also recalculated as the comparators.

2. The FY18 and FY19 DE for TMT & Venture Investing and Environmental, Social & Governance, and FY19 DE for Infrastructure & Natural Resources are based on less than five rated projects.

Development Effectiveness Score by Region, FY18 vs. FY19

